## Table: Comparison of de-agglomeration tools, as provided from literature sources

De-agglomeration Tools	State of Nanoparticles (NPs)	Principle of Operation	Advantages	Disadvantages	Shear Energy Provided/ References
				Slow/ inefficient – ball milling may	
				take days in some cases.	
				Grinding motion can lead to	
Mills				significant breakdown of	
(to include ball,				nanoparticle architecture.	
stirred media,	Mainly				
centrifugal and jet	suitable for dry/ wet	Involves ultrafine grinding		Can be difficult to clean;	
mills)	powders	process	Useful for large batches	contamination likely	Medium [3]
		The use of magnetic stir		Inefficient	
		bar or an overhead-			
		stirring paddle, having		Rarely results in de-agglomeration	
		rotational speed that is		and often-employed in order to	
		sufficient to create a		improve homogeneity of	
		vortex. Overhead stirring	Rarely results in attrition or	dispersion.	
Stirring		has a much higher speed	breakage of nanoparticles		
(magnetic or		than the magnetic		Cannot prevent particles from	
overhead stirring)	NPs in liquid media	counterpart	Cheap/ affordable	aggregating or agglomerating.	Low. [4].
		The use of a rotor stator			
		generator probe; the			
		rotor acts as a centrifugal			
		pump to re-circulate the			
		liquid and suspends the			
		solids through the			Unknown as never
		generator, where it will subjected to shear,			tested for
High speed		impact collision and	Suitable for large liquid	Never tested for nanoparticle	nanoparticle
Homogeniser	NPs in liquid media	cavitations	sample up to 2500 ml	dispersion	dispersion
High Pressure		Shear and cavitations		Nanoparticle architecture can be	
Homogeniser	NPs in liquid media	provided via increase in	Highly efficient	altered; increase of temperature	High [4].

		the velocity of pressurised liquid streams in micro- channels		in the dispersion likely. Expensive	
Ultrasound		The use of ultrasound waves and cavitations (i.e. the formation, growth and implosion of bubbles in liquid) activity		Bath format less effective (less shear) compared to probe format. Can alter nanoparticle architecture; increase in temperature likely if dispersion is sonicated for long period. Highly variable performance at lower end of the market	
Sonicating Bath	NPs in liquid media	in a bath.	Cheap/ Affordable		Medium [4].
		Similar to ultrasonic bath		Probe tip disintegration can contaminate samples. Can alter nanoparticle architecture; temperature	
		but aims to deliver more		increase (even for a few minutes)	
Ultrasound probe		energy density in smaller		in dispersion highly likely.	
sonication or		volume in comparison to			
ultrasonic		the corresponding bath		Highly variable performance at	
disruptor	NPs in liquid media	format	Highly efficient	lower end of the market.	High [4]